

**CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
AT FORT CAMPBELL, KENTUCKY / TENNESSEE**
Clarksville Base Historic District
January 2012 – December 2012

By
Amanda A. Gill, M.A., RPA
Cultural Resource Management Program
[Contractor: Staff Archaeologist, Engineering and Environment, Inc.]

Directorate of Public Works
Environmental Division
IMCB-PWE
Conservation Branch
Fort Campbell, KY

Report Submitted to:
IMCOM Atlantic Region
IMCOM-AR
Installation Management Agency
Fort Eustis, VA

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)
Washington, DC

Tennessee Historical Commission
Department of Environment and Conservation
Nashville, TN

January 2012-December 2012

Enclosures:

Attachment 1: Fieldwork at Ammo Supply Point

Attachment 2: Buildings 7574, 7605, 7606, and 7608

Contents

Introduction 1

Clarksville Base Historic District 1

 Overview 1

Project Review 2

 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Review 2

 Record of Environmental Consideration (RECs) 2

 Individual Section 106 Consultation 4

 Tennessee One Call..... 5

 Internal Review..... 6

 Formal Correspondence..... 6

Mitigation Materials 7

Anticipated Future Work 8

Conclusion..... 8

List of Record of Environmental Considerations..... 8

January 2012-December 2012 8

Section 106 Consultations 9

 January 2012-December 2012..... 9

Other Correspondence 9

 January 2012-December 2012..... 9

Attachments

Attachment 1: Fieldwork at Ammo Supply Point

Attachment 2: Buildings 7574, 7605, 7606, and 7608

Introduction

In accordance with Stipulation (H) of *Programmatic Agreement Between Fort Campbell and the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office Regarding Development, Construction and Operations at Clarksville Base Historic District* (Effective January, 2009), an annual report of all projects and activities carried out by Fort Campbell and reviewed by the Cultural Resource Management Program (CRMP), at Clarksville Base Historic District must be submitted to the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), Installation Management Command-Atlantic Region, and the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The following report includes a list of projects and activities that have been reviewed for possible effects to historic properties, a determination of those effects, a summary of mitigation and/or treatment measures, and a summary of consultation activities performed by the CRMP between January 2012 and December 2012. This report also includes maps of any areas effected by undertakings.

Clarksville Base Historic District

Overview

For management purposes, the CBHD is separated into the Preservation Area and the Development Area (Figure 1). Information on contributing resources in the CBHD are kept on file in the cultural resources database. The district is *Eligible* for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A for its association with the construction and storage of nuclear weapons from the early Cold War.




Figure 1. Preservation and Development Areas

Project Review

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Review

Fort Campbell primarily uses NEPA review as a clearing house for all projects within the installation. A critical part of the NEPA process is reviewing projects for potential effects to historic properties.

Record of Environmental Consideration (RECs)

The Record of Environmental Consideration (REC) is an Army process for internal review of proposed projects in accordance with NEPA. The REC requires multiple disciplines to review projects to determine and comment on the potential impacts it may have.

The CRMP reviewed seven (7) REC documents specifically concerning the Clarksville Base Historic District. Most frequently, the proposed undertaking is reviewed internally and is modified by the proponent to avoid adverse effects to historic properties.

A list of RECs reviewed by the CRMP is included in this document. Eight (8) of the REC documents were reviewed by the CRMP in accordance with the programmatic agreement and were determined to have *No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties*. Two (2) RECs were forwarded to the TN SHPO in a formal consultation and is detailed below.




Figure 2. REC Project Areas

Individual Section 106 Consultation

Under Stipulations E.1 and E.2 of the CBHD programmatic agreement, when undertakings are not exclusively reviewed by Fort Campbell personnel, an individual Section 106 consultation, per 36 CFR 800, with the TN SHPO must be performed before undertaking can proceed. When a Section 106 Consultation is completed, it is forwarded to the SHPO for concurrence with the Army effect determination.

The CRMP completed two (2) Section 106 consultations for Clarksville Base. The Section 106 consultations are entitled *Undertaking Information and Analysis: REC#12-136 Secure Clarksville Base Bunkers* (Figure 4) and *Undertaking Information and Analysis: REC#12-142 Re-establish Drainage at Bunkers 8029 and 8035* (See Attachment 1). The undertakings were determined to have *No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties*. The TN SHPO concurred with these determinations on September 26, 2012 and October 10, 2012, respectively. Per Stipulation D.2.b. of the CBHD PA, the Section 106 consultation concerning REC 12-142 included small scale Phase I fieldwork. This fieldwork was performed by Fort Campbell Cultural Resource Office (FTC-CRO) Staff. Results from this field are included in Attachment 1.




Figure 3. REC 12-136 Project Location




Figure 4. REC 12-136 Project Location

Tennessee One Call

The Tennessee One Call is an automated system to serve as a notification for any underground digging that will occur on the installation, in both Tennessee and Kentucky. This automated system will notify contractors of any underground utilities buried within the project area. The CRMP receives automated Tennessee One Calls tickets, via email, referencing geographical locations for review for potential impacts to cultural properties. Most Tennessee One Calls are placed for repair and/or maintenance to utility lines and television satellite installation. The CRMP reviewed 2461 Tennessee One Calls in 2012, over the entire installation. All Tennessee One Calls were determined to have *No Adverse Effect*, only a portion of these were located within CBHD.

Internal Review

Under Stipulation C.2 of the aforementioned agreement, the CRMP may approve undertakings that pose potential effects to the CBHD and contributing elements when the standard treatments, outlined in Stipulation C.2.a.b.c.d.e.f, are applied. One (1) undertaking was reviewed that met the standards set forth in the aforementioned Stipulation. This undertaking was entitled *REC 12-099 FY12 Facility Reduction Program-MOD*. The undertaking was determined to have *No Effect to Historic Properties* (Buildings 7574, 7605, 7606, and 7608). The proper documentation is retained in project planning files and the mitigation procedures are attached with this Annual Report (See Attachment 2).

Formal Correspondence

The CRMP also developed formal correspondence packages with the TN SHPO regarding activities not covered through REC documents or Section 106 Consultations. These documents included the completion of the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) regarding the Explosive Ordnance Complex and formal written documents concerning a theft at Bridge 37 at CBHD (Figure 5). The theft incident at CBHD was reported to the TN SHPO, along with remedial actions that were to be implemented to prevent further theft and damage to the bridges at CBHD. The TN SHPO office found the remediation procedures adequate for mitigating the effects to CBHD. This letter was received by the FTC-CRO on June 12, 2012.




Figure 5. Areas addressed in formal correspondence

Mitigation Materials

Pursuant to stipulation A of the programmatic agreement, Fort Campbell is to complete mitigation to include public access to detailed descriptions of the history and to illustrations of the buildings and structures that contribute to the District. Due to the sensitive nature of material

regarding Clarksville Base, all materials must be reviewed by the Department of Energy (DOE). Mitigation materials were sent to the DOE on 8 January 2013 and a response to the FTC-CRO is anticipated in April 2013.

Anticipated Future Work

Future work within the Clarksville Base Historic District will aim to maintain the existing integrity of the preservation area and to minimize adverse effects of current and future undertakings.

Conclusion

With the pending updates for the 2014 Programmatic Agreement and development of the ICRMP, the CRMP can foster consistent and appropriate methods for cultural resource management. The Fort Campbell Cultural Resource Management Program s hopes for continued improvement and efficiency in the management of cultural properties within the Clarksville Base Historic District.

List of Record of Environmental Considerations

Determined as having No Effect to Historic Properties

January 2012-December 2012

REC #	Title
12-071	Renovate Interior of Building 7502 (FR-10175-2J)
12-099	FY12 Facility Reduction Program-MOD
12-124	Lease of Land to AT&T Wireless
13-005	Repair Building 7966
13-007	Invasive Species Management-Kudzu Eradication
13-011	Remove Culvert and Contaminants
13-014	Confidence Obstacle Course for 160 th Soar

Section 106 Consultations

January 2012-December 2012

Title	Result	Date of Concurrence
REC 12-142 Undertaking Information and Analysis Re- establish Drainage at Bunkers 8029 and 8035	<i>No Adverse Effect</i>	10 October 2012
REC 12-136 Undertaking Information and Analysis Secure Clarksville Base Bunkers	<i>No Adverse Effect</i>	26 September 2012

Other Correspondence

January 2012-December 2012

Title	Result	Date of Concurrence
EOD Complex MOA	<i>Completion of MOA</i>	31 October 2012
Theft at Bridge 37	<i>No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties</i>	12 June 2012